Full self-assessment – consideration of standards of proof when making or amending Revenue assessments

Part 41A-05-01

Document last reviewed May 2023



The information in this document is provided as a guide only and is not professional advice, including legal advice. It should not be assumed that the guidance is comprehensive or that it provides a definitive answer in every case.

Table of Contents

1	What standards of proof apply to actions taken by Revenue officers?
2	Consequences of failing to meet a standard of proof4

1 What standards of proof apply to actions taken by Revenue officers?

The Tax Acts provide for various standards of proof which a Revenue officer must meet before taking a specific action. For example, in Part 41A Taxes Consolidation Act 1997 (TCA), which deals with self-assessment:

- under section 959Y, a Revenue officer may make or amend an assessment on a person which, **in the officer's best judgement**, ought to be charged on that person;
- under section 959Z(4), a Revenue officer may make enquiries after the expiry of the normal four year timeframe if the officer has reasonable grounds for believing that any form of fraud or neglect has been committed (please refer to Tax and Duty Manual (TDM) Part 41A-05-04 for additional material relating to the time limits for making enquiries and making or amending assessments);
- under section 959AC(2), if a Revenue officer has reasonable grounds for believing that a return does not contain a full and true disclosure of all material facts necessary for the making of an assessment, the officer may make an assessment for the amount of tax which, in the officer's best judgement, ought to be charged on that person (please refer to TDM Part <u>41A-05-02</u> for additional material relating to Revenue assessments in the absence of a return, etc.) or;
- under section 959AD (3) if a Revenue officer has reasonable grounds for believing that any form of fraud or neglect has been committed, the officer may make an assessment for the amount of tax which, in the officer's best judgement, ought to be charged on that person.

Standard of proof tests are also found elsewhere in the TCA; for example:

- under section 530N if a Revenue officer has reason to believe that a return was not made, the officer may make an assessment for the amount of tax which in his or her opinion is due;
- under section 865 a claim for repayment becomes a valid claim when a Revenue officer has all the information which he/she could reasonably require.

The following material is either exempt from or not required to be published under the Freedom of Information Act 2014.

[...]

2 Consequences of failing to meet a standard of proof

Whether or not a Revenue officer met the requisite standard of proof can be subject to four different types of review.

- (i) Where a taxpayer believes that a Revenue officer was not acting reasonably, that the officer's conduct was inappropriate, that the officer did not apply Revenue powers fairly, or that the officer failed to give due consideration to the taxpayer's view point, then the taxpayer may seek a Local Review on these issues.
- (ii) Where a taxpayer is unhappy with the outcome of a Local Review, they may seek an Internal or External Review on the same grounds.
- (iii) Certain sections within the Act provide that the standard of proof may be the subject of an appeal to the Appeal Commissioners. For example, section 959AJ TCA includes the right of appeal where a chargeable person believes Revenue was precluded from carrying out an enquiry under section 959Z TCA after the end of the four-year period. This would arise, for example, if the chargeable person asserts that the Revenue officer did not have reasonable grounds for believing that fraud or neglect was committed.
- (iv) In other cases, where the Acts do not give the Tax Appeal Commissioners jurisdiction over such matters, the issue may be raised in the High Court as a Judicial Review.

The following material is either exempt from or not required to be published under the Freedom of Information Act 2014.

[...]